



A Review of Esophagitis Due to *Candida* Species in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infected Patients

Afsane Vaezi¹, Hamid Badali¹, Hamed Fakhim^{2,3}, Elahe Nasri⁴, Mojtaba Hedayat Yaghoobi^{5*}

¹Department of Medical Mycology /Invasive Fungi Research Center, School of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

²Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, Faculty of Medicine, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

³Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran;

⁴Infectious Disease and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵Department of Infectious Diseases, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran

*Corresponding Author:

Mojtaba Hedayat Yaghoobi,
Assistant Professor of Infectious
Diseases; Department of
Infectious Diseases, Alborz
University of Medical Sciences,
Karaj, Iran;
Email
hyaghooby@razi.tums.ac.ir

Published Online November 12,
2018

Keywords: HIV infection,
Human immunodeficiency
virus, *Candida* species,
Esophageal candidiasis

Abstract

The present study aimed to provide an overview of epidemiology, pathogenicity, clinical diagnosis, and treatment of *Candida* esophagitis in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected patients. The review process involved studying all the existing literature published on this *Candida* infection. Esophageal candidiasis (EC) is the most common manifestation of mucosal candidiasis and patients with HIV are predominantly at the risk of this opportunistic infection. The prevalence of EC indicated diverse ranges among HIV patients in different geographic areas due to antiretroviral therapy (ART). The main factors for EC were gastric ulcers, CD4⁺ cell count <200 cells/mL, and HIV viral load >400 in the ART era. However, a low CD4⁺ cell count (<200 cells/mL) was significantly associated with EC in the pre-ART era. The interactions between the *Candida* virulence factor and host immune defense lead to the host responses against this fungal pathogen. During the *Candida albicans* invasion, secretion of candidalysin which is encoded by the hyphal gene *ECE1* has a potential role in epithelial cell damage and secretion of stimulated cytokine. Early trials of the empirical antifungal therapy are recommended before an endoscopic examination. Esophageal biopsy should be considered in patients with a failure of empiric antifungal treatment as it may allow the possibility of drug-resistant *Candida* and other opportunistic pathogens. The first-line induction treatment of *Candida* esophagitis is based on oral fluconazole. The shift from *C. albicans* to non-*albicans Candida* (NAC) may be correlated with the development of fluconazole resistance and relapse or therapeutic failure in this infection. An increase in the intrinsic and acquired resistance has raised the significance of the optimal antifungal therapy for the critically ill patient. *Candida* esophagitis requires a systematic suspicion for early diagnosis and appropriate management of HIV infected patients in order to prevent delayed treatment related to undesirable morbidity or even mortality scores.



Background

Despite various efforts to prevent and detect the HIV infection, it is still a major public health issue which leads to high morbidity and mortality related to opportunistic infections.¹ Mucosal candidiasis is a major debilitating opportunistic infection in immunocompromised individuals.² Esophageal candidiasis (EC) is the most prevalent manifestation of this infection which patients with HIV are predominantly exposed at its risk.³ *Candida* esophagitis as an opportunistic fungal infection can result in the total significant morbidity related to retrosternal pain, odynophagia, and dysphagia leading to weight loss which is a major contributor to reducing general health in most patients with AIDS. In spite of prolonged antifungal

treatment relapses due to the emerging resistant *Candida* spp. are frequent.^{4,5} Understanding the clinical features, as well as diagnostic and therapeutic approaches, are essential to facilitate early diagnosis and treatment strategies of EC in this group of patients. Therefore, this study sought to present an overview regarding various aspects of *Candida* esophagitis in HIV infected patients.

Epidemiology

The incidence of EC demonstrated various ranges among HIV patients in different geographic areas because of antiretroviral therapy (ART).⁶ The prevalence of EC had a decrease of about 25%–50% after one or two years of ART. Few studies investigated EC occurrence and

risk factors in the last decades.^{7,8} In the ART era, the main factors related to the *Candida* esophagitis were recognized as gastric ulcers, CD4⁺ cell count <200 cells/mL, and HIV viral load > 400.⁹ However, a low CD4⁺ cell count (<200 cells/mL) is found to be significantly correlated with EC in the pre-ART era.¹⁰⁻¹² In a low-income country with limited health care, the annual frequency of oral thrush and esophageal fungal infections is described to be about 10 million and 2 million cases, respectively.¹³ Actually, a decrease was observed in the incidence of EC from 42.8 to 16.7% during 1991-2008.¹⁴ In addition, in the United State, the emergence of ART which resulted in EC prevalence, decreased from 13.6% to 9.0% during 2002–2003 and 2012–2014, respectively.⁶ However, Takahashi et al indicated that EC incidence rate was nearly 2% (1219/78624) in non-HIV infected patients which was negligible compared to those of the HIV infected patients (9.8%).⁶ During 2008–2010, EC was the second most common leading of opportunistic infection after *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia among the HIV population.¹⁵ There is a significant difference in the male to female ratio (2:1) among HIV infected patients diagnosed with EC in several investigations.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ The reasons for such gender differences are poorly understood, but it is supposed that sexual behaviors and habits and even job play putative roles in this respect. Further, patients within the age range of 20–45 years were the most affected group in the reported studies.^{16,17} *Candida albicans* is the most common species that was isolated from HIV infected individuals; however, the prevalence of mucosal candidiasis caused by NAC species has increased significantly¹⁹⁻²¹; in the 1980s and 1990s, accounting for 3.4% and 16.8% of oral isolates from HIV infected patients, respectively, were NAC species.^{22,23} The most common NAC species were *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. dubliniensis*.²³ The analysis of epidemiological data from some countries is recommended to establish appropriate measures for EC infection control in HIV positive individuals and prompt diagnosis to improve antifungal therapeutic stewardship.

Pathogenicity

Candida species is a normal flora of the gastrointestinal tract that can cause esophagitis in patients infected with HIV during the life. In addition, these patients commonly expose to *Candida* species in food and other sources as exogenous factors which increase the prevalence of gastrointestinal tract infection in this population.²⁴ The interactions between *Candida* virulence factor and host immune defense leads to host responses against this fungal pathogen. The *C. albicans* develops several strategies including adhesions, phenotypic switching, dimorphism, and secretion of hydrolytic enzymes to evade the immune system and facilitate its invasion.²⁴ Due to the lack of data on host and effective yeast factors in the pathogenesis of EC, the impact of each virulence factor on the incidence

of EC remains unknown. Apparently, adhesions and the secreted aspartyl proteinase (Saps) are considered more important virulence factors in the pathogenesis of oropharyngeal among those HIV positive patients.^{6,24} Swidergal and Filler reported a strong correlation between candidalysin and pathogenesis of oropharyngeal candidiasis. During the *C. albicans* invasion, secretion of candidalysin which is encoded by the hyphal gene *ECE1* has a potential role in damaging the epithelial cell and secreting the stimulated cytokine.²⁶

Host Defense

The defense system against mucosal fungal infection is dependent on the role of CD4⁺ T cells. Thus, these infections exclusively occur during cellular immunity defect. The HIV induces immunosuppression and facilitates the development of *Candida* species in mucosal surface.²³ *Candida* esophagitis is an AIDS-defining diagnosis and tends to occur at lower CD4⁺ T cell counts (<100 cells/mL). However, 25% of the EC patients have CD4⁺ cell counts > 400 cells/mL.⁶ The protective mechanism of mucosal CD4⁺ T cells against EC is still incompletely understood. Several studies confirmed an effect of decreased E-cadherin levels on episodes of acute oropharyngeal candidiasis.^{23,27,28} Further, Cytokines, especially interferon gamma released from the macrophages may induce an increase in chemokine synthesis from intestinal epithelial cells. The CD8 responses could be important in controlling the infection in this group of patients.²³ However, CD8 or macrophage responses in HIV patients may lead to an unusual cytokine release and intestinal damage. More importantly, the decreased capacity to support HIV-1 infection was reported into M1 polarization of human macrophages induced by tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and IFN- γ .²⁹ Accordingly, studies focusing on the balance between various cytokines and their tissue receptors against mucosal infection in HIV individuals are needed.

Clinical Diagnosis

Esophagitis due to infection with *Candida* is associated with different clinical manifestations ranging from dysphagia, odynophagia, and oral candidiasis to hemorrhage, stenosis, and esophagotracheal fistula.³⁰⁻³² However, some patients (30%-43%) may not have any symptoms of esophageal involvement.³³ Endoscopic features are well-defined as yellow-white plaques, ulceration, luminal narrowing, and necrosis which are essential in diagnosing this infection. Furthermore, its diagnosis requires performing biopsy, which would yield high costs and numerous invasions in the high-risk population.³⁴ Therefore, oropharyngeal candidiasis and characteristic symptoms of esophagitis as the clinical criteria are probably acceptable for empiric antifungal therapy in these patients without being confirmed by endoscopy.³⁵ Esophageal biopsy should be highlighted

in patients with empiric antifungal treatment failure as it may allow the possibility of drug-resistant *Candida* and other opportunistic pathogens.

Treatment and Antifungal Drug Resistance

Choosing the antifungal agent should be guided by the extension of EC infection, potential adverse effects, and the efficiency of prior treatments. A systemic therapy administration has always been an important benefit in *Candida* esophagitis.¹² Moreover, early trials of empirical antifungal therapy is recommended before an endoscopic examination. The first-line induction treatment of *Candida* esophagitis is based on daily receiving of oral fluconazole at a dose of 200–400 mg (3–6 mg/kg) for 14-21 days. Intravenous fluconazole or echinocandins is reserved for patients who cannot tolerate oral treatment. The antifungal dosing for treating *Candida* esophagitis in HIV infected patients are summarized in Table 1.³⁶ It was found that ART significantly reduced the prevalence of EC in HIV infected patients and are strongly recommended for decreasing the recurrent infection.²³ Recurrent or refractory *Candida* esophagitis was reported in a considerable number of patients as a result of long-term fluconazole therapy in this population due to the low CD4⁺ T cell counts and persistent exposure to clinical azoles.³⁷⁻³⁹ The shift from *C. albicans* to the NAC may be correlated with the development of fluconazole resistance and relapse or therapeutic failure.^{19,20} Additionally, increasing the intrinsic and acquired resistance, the optimal antifungal therapy for the critically ill patient became essential. However, Pfaller et al found that patients with recurrent episodes of EC responded to an increased dose of fluconazole and thus the relapse risk decreased.³⁸ The recommended alternative treatment strategy for fluconazole-refractory disease included

azole and echinocandin regimens (Table 1). Itraconazole and posaconazole were used as effective alternatives for fluconazole-refractory oropharyngeal or EC, in 64%-80% and 75% of the patients respectively.³⁶ In a randomized study by Andes et al, daily administration of micafungin (300 mg vs. 150 mg) to both groups of *Candida* esophagitis patients with less than daily administration in high dose group revealed a higher response (85% vs. 79%) and lower relapse rate (6% vs. 12%) in the group receiving a high dose of micafungin compared to the other group. The efficacy and safety of high dose echinocandin regimens on fungal infection were confirmed in several studies.⁴⁰⁻⁴³ Using terbinafine, flucytosine, echinocandins, and GM-CSF (the Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor) in combination with fluconazole was suggested as a potential therapeutic option for managing refractory mucosal candidiasis in patients with HIV.^{23,44} As a result, it is recommended to use combination therapy as an alternative antifungal strategy and effective approach to avoid further emergence of drug resistance to *Candida* esophagitis among the HIV population.

Conclusion

Candida esophagitis is as an opportunistic fungal infection with a high morbidity rate. Accordingly, to prevent delayed treatment which leads to undesirable morbidity or even mortality scores, *Candida* esophagitis needs a systematic suspicion among HIV infected patients so that to be quickly diagnosed and appropriately managed.

Authors' Contributions

xxx.



Ethical Approval

Not applicable.

Table 1. The Antifungal Dosing in Treatment of *Candida* Esophagitis in HIV Infected Patients

Antifungal agent	Administration	Total daily dose	Comment
Recommended Therapy			
Fluconazole	Orally	200–400 mg (3–6 mg/kg)	Strong recommendation, strong evidence, should always be offered
Alternatives for Fluconazole Refractory Disease and Patients Who Unable to Tolerate Oral Fluconazole			
Fluconazole	Intravenous	400 mg (6 mg/kg)	
Itraconazole	Oral solution	200 mg	Strong recommendation and evidence
Voriconazole	Intravenous/ orally	200 mg (3 mg/kg) twice daily	
Posaconazole	Suspension	400 mg twice daily	Weak recommendation and poor evidence
	Orally	300 mg	
Micafungin	Intravenous	150 mg	
Caspofungin	Intravenous	70 mg loading dose and 50 mg daily	Strong recommendation and evidence
Anidulafungin	Intravenous	200 mg	
AmB deoxycholate	Intravenous	0.3–0.7 mg/kg	Strong recommendation, moderate evidence

Conflict of Interest Disclosures

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Financial Support

xxx

References

- Sodqi M, Marih L, Lahsen AO, et al. [Causes of death among 91 HIV-infected adults in the era of potent antiretroviral therapy]. *Presse Med.* 2012;41(7-8):e386-e390. doi: 10.1016/j.lpm.2011.12.013.
- Wadhwa A, Kaur R, Agarwal SK, Jain S, Bhalla P. AIDS-related opportunistic mycoses seen in a tertiary care hospital in North India. *J Med Microbiol.* 2007;56(Pt 8):1101-1106. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.46893-0.
- Darouiche RO. Oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis in immunocompromised patients: treatment issues. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1998;26(2):259-272.
- Lortholary O, Petrikos G, Akova M, et al. ESCMID* guideline for the diagnosis and management of *Candida* diseases 2012: patients with HIV infection or AIDS. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2012;18 Suppl 7:68-77. doi: 10.1111/1469-0691.12042.
- Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;48(5):503-35. doi: 10.1086/596757.
- Takahashi Y, Nagata N, Shimbo T, et al. Long-Term trends in esophageal candidiasis prevalence and associated risk factors with or without HIV infection: lessons from an endoscopic study of 80,219 patients. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(7):e0133589. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0133589. eCollection 2015.
- Weerasuriya N, Snape J. A study of *Candida* esophagitis in elderly patients attending a district general hospital in the UK. *Dis Esophagus.* 2006;19(3):189-92. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-2050.2006.00563.x
- Choi JH, Lee CG, Lim YJ, Kang HW, Lim CY, Choi JS. Prevalence and risk factors of esophageal candidiasis in healthy individuals: a single center experience in Korea. *Yonsei Med J.* 2013;54(1):160-165. doi: 10.3349/ymj.2013.54.1.160
- Nkuize M, De Wit S, Muls V, Arvanitakis M, Buset M. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopic findings in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *HIV Med.* 2010;11(6):412-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1293.2009.00807.x.
- Eras P, Goldstein MJ, Sherlock P. *Candida* infection of the gastrointestinal tract. *Medicine.* 1972;51(5):367-79.
- Nishimura S, Nagata N, Shimbo T, et al. Factors associated with esophageal candidiasis and its endoscopic severity in the era of antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(3):e58217. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058217.
- Lopez-Dupla M, Mora Sanz P, Pintado Garcia V, et al. Clinical, endoscopic, immunologic, and therapeutic aspects of oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis in HIV-infected patients: a survey of 114 cases. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1992;87(12):1771-1776.
- Brown GD, Denning DW, Gow NA, Levitz SM, Netea MG, White TC. Hidden killers: human fungal infections. *Sci Transl Med.* 2012;4(165):165rv13. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3004404.
- Mocroft A, Oancea C, van Lunzen J, et al. Decline in esophageal candidiasis and use of antimycotics in European patients with HIV. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2005;100(7):1446-1454. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2005.41949.x
- Buchacz K, Lau B, Jing Y, et al. Incidence of AIDS-Defining Opportunistic Infections in a Multicohort Analysis of HIV-Infected Persons in the United States and Canada, 2000-2010. *J Infect Dis.* 2016;214(6):862-872. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiw085.
- Maheshwari M, Kaur R, Chadha S. *Candida* species prevalence profile in HIV seropositive patients from a major tertiary care hospital in New Delhi, India. *J Pathog.* 2016;2016:6204804. doi: 10.1155/2016/6204804.
- Nissapatorn V, Lee CK, Rohela M, Anuar AK. Spectrum of opportunistic infections among HIV-infected patients in Malaysia. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health.* 2004;35 Suppl 2:26-32.
- Anwar KP, Malik A, Subhan KH. Profile of candidiasis in HIV infected patients. *Iran J Microbiol.* 2012 Dec;4(4):204-209.
- Viljoen J, Azie N, Schmitt-Hoffmann AH, Ghannoum M. A phase 2, randomized, double-blind, multicenter trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of three dosing regimens of isavuconazole compared with fluconazole in patients with uncomplicated esophageal candidiasis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2015;59(3):1671-9. doi: 10.1128/AAC.04586-14.
- Krause DS, Simjee AE, van Rensburg C, et al. A randomized, double-blind trial of anidulafungin versus fluconazole for the treatment of esophageal candidiasis. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2004;39(6):770-5. doi: 10.1086/423378
- de Wet NT, Bester AJ, Viljoen JJ, et al. A randomized, double blind, comparative trial of micafungin (FK463) vs. fluconazole for the treatment of oesophageal candidiasis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2005;21(7):899-907. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2005.02427.x
- van 't Wout JW. Fluconazole treatment of *Candida* infections caused by non-*albicans* *Candida* species. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 1996;15(3):238-42.
- Vazquez JA. Optimal management of oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis in patients living with HIV infection. *HIV AIDS (Auckl).* 2010;2:89-101.
- de Repentigny L, Lewandowski D, Jolicoeur P. Immunopathogenesis of oropharyngeal candidiasis in human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2004;17(4):729-59, table of contents. doi:10.1128/CMR.17.4.729-759.2004
- Swidergall M, Filler SG. Oropharyngeal candidiasis: fungal invasion and epithelial cell responses. *PLoS Pathog.* 2017;13(1):e1006056. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006056.
- Moyes DL, Wilson D, Richardson JP, et al. Candidalysin is a fungal peptide toxin critical for mucosal infection. *Nature.* 2016;532(7597):64-8. doi: 10.1038/nature17625.
- Villar CC, Dongari-Bagtzoglou A. Immune defence mechanisms and immunoenhancement strategies in oropharyngeal candidiasis. *Expert Rev Mol Med.* 2008;10:e29. doi: 10.1017/S1462399408000835.
- Kalo-Klein A, Witkin SS. Prostaglandin E2 enhances and gamma interferon inhibits germ tube formation in *Candida albicans*. *Infect Immun.* 1990;58(1):260-2.
- Cassol E, Cassetta L, Rizzi C, Alfano M, Poli G. M1 and M2a polarization of human monocyte-derived macrophages inhibits HIV-1 replication by distinct mechanisms. *J Immunol.* 2009;182(10):6237-46. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0803447.
- Kurnatowska I, Pazurek M, Nowicki M. Case of esophagitis in a posttransplant female patient. *Ann Transplant.* 2007;12(3):39-42.
- Gaissert HA, Breuer CK, Weissburg A, Mermel L. Surgical management of necrotizing *Candida* esophagitis. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1999;67(1):231-3.
- Jones JM, Glass NR, Belzer FO. Fatal *Candida* esophagitis in two diabetics after renal transplantation. *Arch Surg.* 1982 Apr;117(4):499-501.
- Vazquez JA, Skiest DJ, Tissot-Dupont H, Lennox JL, Boparai N, Isaacs R. Safety and efficacy of posaconazole in the long-term

- treatment of azole-refractory oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis in patients with HIV infection. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2007;8(2):86-97. doi: 10.1310/hct0802-86.
34. Antinori A, Antinori A, Ammassari A, et al. Presumptive clinical criteria versus endoscopy in the diagnosis of *Candida* esophagitis at various HIV-1 disease stages. *Endoscopy*. 1995;27(5):371-6. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-1005716.
 35. de Repentigny L, Goupil M, Jolicoeur P. Oropharyngeal Candidiasis in HIV Infection: Analysis of Impaired Mucosal Immune Response to *Candida albicans* in Mice Expressing the HIV-1 Transgene. *Pathogens*. 2015;4(2):406-21. doi: 10.3390/pathogens4020406.
 36. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes DR, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Candidiasis: 2016 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(4):409-17. doi: 10.1093/cid/civ1194.
 37. White TC, Marr KA, Bowden RA. Clinical, cellular, and molecular factors that contribute to antifungal drug resistance. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 1998;11(2):382-402.
 38. Pfaller MA, Rex JH, Rinaldi MG. Antifungal susceptibility testing: technical advances and potential clinical applications. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1997;24(5):776-84.
 39. Pea F, Lewis RE. Overview of antifungal dosing in invasive candidiasis. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018;73(suppl_1):i33-i43. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx447.
 40. Andes DR, Reynolds DK, Van Wart SA, Lepak AJ, Kovanda LL, Bhavnani SM. Clinical pharmacodynamic index identification for micafungin in esophageal candidiasis: dosing strategy optimization. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(11):5714-6. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01057-13.
 41. Gumbo T. Single or 2-Dose Micafungin Regimen for Treatment of Invasive Candidiasis: Therapia Sterilisans Magna! *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61 Suppl 6:S635-42. doi: 10.1093/cid/civ715.
 42. Lepak A, Marchillo K, VanHecker J, Azie N, Andes D. Efficacy of extended-interval dosing of micafungin evaluated using a pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic study with humanized doses in mice. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;60(1):674-7. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02124-15.
 43. Betts RF, Nucci M, Talwar D, Gareca M, Queiroz-Telles F, Bedimo RJ, et al. A Multicenter, double-blind trial of a high-dose caspofungin treatment regimen versus a standard caspofungin treatment regimen for adult patients with invasive candidiasis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;48(12):1676-84. doi: 10.1086/598933.
 44. Ghannoum MA, Elewski B. Successful treatment of fluconazole-resistant oropharyngeal candidiasis by a combination of fluconazole and terbinafine. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*. 1999;6(6):921-3.