Published online 2019 December 31.

Research Article



Utility of Different Diagnostic Tools for Detection of *Cryptosporidium* in Children with Diarrhea

Mahmoud Mahmoudi¹, Khosrow Hazrati Tappeh^{1,2}, Esmaeil Abasi^{1,3} and Arash Aminpour^{1,2,*}

Received 2019 April 07; Revised 2019 October 13; Accepted 2019 November 09.

Abstract

Background: Cryptosporidiosis is a worldwide zoonotic parasitic disease found in children and HIV-positive individuals, and is mainly accompanied with diarrhea.

Objectives: This study attempted to compare the sensitivity and specificity of acid-fast (AF) staining, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) methods to determine *Cryptosporidium* and its predominant species in diarrheal stool samples of children.

Methods: In total, 221 diarrheal stool samples were collected from children admitted to Motahari Hospital in Urmia city, North-West of Iran. The AF staining and ELISA methods were used to analyze all the samples, while the PCR method was considered as the gold standard of the study. Positive samples shown by PCR were sequenced to determine *Cryptosporidium* species (spp.). The three methods were compared regarding statistical factors, sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, time duration, and experiment costs.

Results: Of the 221 analyzed samples, four and seven samples were positive for *Cryptosporidium*, as indicated by the AF staining and PCR methods, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity of the AF staining method were shown to be 57.14% and 99.53%, respectively, and five out of 94 samples were diagnosed as positive using ELISA (with 71.4% sensitivity and 100% specificity).

Conclusions: Our findings showed that ELISA found less false positive and false negative in comparison with the AF staining method to detect *Cryptosporidium*. Despite having higher sensitivity and specificity in comparison with the ELISA method, PCR needs more time and cost; therefore, ELISA is preferred for laboratory routine works. Due to limited information on molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* spp., more studies using molecular methods are needed to elucidate accurate species.

Keywords: Acid-Fast, Cryptosporidium, ELISA, PCR, Sensitivity, Specificity

1. Background

Cryptosporidium is a worldwide zoonotic apicomplexan protozoan parasite (1) infecting the gastrointestinal tract of a wide range of vertebrates, including humans, livestock, wild animals, and birds (2, 3). The most common routes for Cryptosporidium transmission are direct fecal contamination or contaminated drink water that result in diarrhea in a variety of vertebrate hosts (4). In humans, this infection is commonly found in children and immunocompromised individuals (5, 6); in children, cryptosporidiosis influences growth, physical activity, and cognitive function. Cryptosporidiosis has also been identified as the leading global cause of diarrheal mortality among infants aged between 12 and 23 months (7, 8).

In normal and healthy individuals, cryptosporidiosis is known as a self-limiting disease (9, 10) lasting, on average, up to two weeks, although the situation differs in immunocompromised individuals. Cryptosporidiosis can be life-threatening since no fully effective drug treatment has been yet developed for it. The primary site of *Cryptosporidium* infection in humans is the small intestine; however, in immunocompromised individuals, biliary tract, lungs, or pancreas are the most frequently affected extraintestinal organs (8). Recently, molecular studies have disclosed that cryptosporidiosis is caused by at least 15 different species, the most common of which are *Cryptosporidium hominis* (11, 12) (humans are the only natural host for this species), *Cryptosporidium parvum* (*C. parvum*) (which infects bovines as well as humans), *Cryptosporidium mulla*, *Cryptosporidium fe*-

¹Department of Parasitology and Mycology, Faculty of Medicine, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

²Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

³Ph.D. Candidate in Medical Parasitology Department of Parasitology and Mycology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^{*}Corresponding author: Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran. Email: arashaminpour@gmail.com

lis, Cryptosporidium carnivorous, and Cryptosporidium humicus (13).

The prevalence rate of *Cryptosporidium* varies in different regions of the world; for instance, it is 0.6 to 4.3% in North America, 3 to 10% in Asia, Australia, Africa, and Central America, and 1 to 2% in Europe (5). In Iran, according to the results of a systematic and meta-analysis study, the prevalence rate of cryptosporidiosis among children, healthy people, gastroenteritis patients, and immunocompromised patients has been estimated to be 3.65, 2.94, 1.29, and 4.54%, respectively, using the random effects model. The findings of a phylogenetic analysis inferred by gp60 and 18S ribosomal RNA markers have indicated that highest infection rates belong to C. parvum (particularly, subtype IIaA15G2R1) and C. hominis among understudied groups (14). Considering the fact that there was no comprehensive study on Cryptosporidium in Urmia city, we designed this study to compare the sensitivity and specificity of acid-fast (AF) staining, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for diagnosis of Cryptosporidium and its dominant species among children admitted to Motahari Hospital in Urmia city. The intention was to select the most favorable method among the three compared here with low test duration and procedure cost and also with the highest sensitivity in detecting Cryptosporidium, for differential diagnosis of cryptosporidial diarrhea among children and immunocompromised individuals.

2. Objectives

The objective of the study was to evaluate the efficiency of different diagnostic tools for detection of *Cryptosporidium* in pediatrics with diarrhea based on conventional, ELISA and molecular assays. The aim was also to sequence PCR products to determine epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* species (spp.) for the first time in West Azerbaijan, Iran.

3. Methods

3.1. Sample Collection

For this diagnostic medical study, 221 diarrheal stool samples were collected from children admitted to Motahari Hospital, the pediatric-specific center in Urmia city, from October 2017 to March 2018. Demographic features such as age and gender and some other factors including place of residence (city or village), soil contact, animal contact, breast-feeding, stay in kindergarten and family's socioeconomic conditions were applied in the questionnaire. The samples were transferred to the laboratory at

Urmia University of Medical Sciences on the same day of collection.

3.2. Evaluation of Stool Samples

Since it was impossible to perform all the three methods simultaneously, we divided each diarrheal stool sample into three parts: one part was kept for detection of *Cryptosporidium* oocytes by the AF (Ziehl-Neelsen) staining method (221 samples), one part was transmitted into a test tube containing 70% alcohol for the PCR method (221 samples), and one part was maintained in normal saline at -20°C for the ELISA method (94 samples).

3.2.1. Ziehl-Neelsen Staining Method

All the samples were first examined by the formalinethyl acetate sedimentation method (16), as in the following procedure. To each test tube, 7 ml of formalin and 2-3 mL of each diarrheal stool were added, followed by adding 3 mL of ethyl acetate. After sealing the tubes, their contents were mixed perfectly using a vortex. Then, the tubes were centrifuged at 450 ×g for 5 minutes. Afterward, from the top to bottom of the tube, four visible layers were formed, including ethyl acetate, fat and stool debris, formalin, and an oocyst containing sediments. After discarding the first three layers, smears were prepared on glass slides for each sample and dried at room temperature. The Ziehl-Neelsen staining method was performed after the slides were prepared and fixed by methanol. In brief, carbol fuchsin was used to stain the slides for 15 minutes, which were then decolorized with 50% alcohol for 3 - 5 seconds. In the next step, the slides were washed with water. Finally, 1% sulfuric acid was used to decolorize the slides until the disappearance of red color. At this moment, the slides were rinsed again with water. After drying the slides, methylene blue was used to stain the field for one minute, which was subsequently washed with water. By observing the slides under immersion oil (objective *100), we identified red Cryptosporidium oocytes with the size range of 4-6 microns and black granules on blue background. About 30 minutes was required for the AF staining method to detect Cryptosporidium (15).

3.2.2. PCR Method

The DNA extraction was performed using a commercial kit (Yekta Tajhiz Azema, Iran), following the manufacturer's instructions for use. For multiplication of the SSU rRNA gene of *Cryptosporidium* spp., forward (5'GACATATCATCAAGTTTCTGACC3') and reverse (5'CTGAAGGAGTAAGGAACAACC3') primers were designed. The process was carried out by adding 1 μ L of each forward and reverse primer, 3 μ L of the extracted DNA, 12.5 μ L of the master mix, and

7.5 μ L of sterile distilled water to the micro-tubes. Amplification of *Cryptosporidium* DNA was accomplished with a thermocycler device, as described in Table 1.

 Table 1. Thermal Cycling Parameters of PCR Primers for Amplification of Cryptosporidium DNA

Stage	Temperature (°C)	Time (s)	Cycle
Hot start	94	120	1
Denaturation	94	120	35
Annealing	58	60	35
Extension	68	120	35
Final extension	72	420	1

The PCR product was first loaded on a 1% agarose gel and then electrophoresed using 1% Tris/Borate/EDTA solution for 20 minutes. Finally, digital images were taken using a gel imaging system. *Cryptosporidium* spp. bands with the length of 830 bp were identified. Positive PCR products were sequenced, and the sequences were visualized using the Chromas software (version 2.6). The sequences were then compared to those registered in the GenBank using basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) software. The time period required for *Cryptosporidium* DNA extraction and the PCR method was about 8 hours.

3.2.3. ELISA Method

A commercial ELISA kit (Cryptosporidium 2nd Generation [Fecal], USA) was used in the study. To conduct the experiment, a buffer solution was added to all wells of a plate, and then negative and positive controls and (94) samples were added to each well. The plate was incubated at room temperature for 1 hour, and after washing the wells, enzyme conjugate was added to all the wells and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. After the washing step, 100 μ L of chromogenic solution was added to each well and the plate was incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes. The reaction was stopped by adding 100 μ L of stop solution to each well. The optical density of the wells was measured by an ELISA reader (Awareness Stat Fax 2100, Palm city, FL, USA). The optical density above 0.08 was considered as positive for Cryptosporidium antigens (the cut-off point). The time taken to determine the Cryptosporidium antigens by the ELISA method was 2 hours and 30 minutes.

3.3. Ethical Issues

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran (approval number: 1396.264.REC.UMSU.IR).

3.4. Statistical Analysis

By considering the PCR method as the gold standard, we compared sensitivity, specificity, and negative and positive predictive values of the AF staining, PCR, and ELISA methods using the McNemar's chi-squared test (16).

4. Results

The results from the samples analyzed using the three aforementioned methods, as shown in Table 2, revealed that the number of *Cryptosporidium*-positive samples was four, five, and seven for AF staining, ELISA, and PCR, respectively.

The results also indicated that the ELISA and PCR methods yielded a higher number of *Cryptosporidium*-positive cases compared to the AF staining method, with the most positive cases being observed using the PCR method. The number of true positive, true negative, false positive and false negative obtained using the ELISA and AF staining methods is shown in Table 3. In this study, the prevalence rate of *Cryptosporidium* among the studied children was evaluated to be about 3.2%.

Based on statistical parameters, ELISA and AF (Ziehl-Neelsen) staining were compared with PCR. The parameters of true positive, true negative, false positive, false negative, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and P value for the AF staining and ELISA methods are presented in Table 4.

Table 2. The Results of the Acid-Fast Staining, ELISA, and PCR Methods in Detecting *Cryptosporidium* in the Stool Samples

Method	Number of Samples	Positive Cases, N (%)	Negative Cases, N (%)
Acid-fast staining	221	4 (1.8)	217 (98.2)
ELISA	94	5 (5.32)	89 (94.68)
PCR	221	7 (3.2)	214 (96.80)

Table 3. The Number of True Positive, True Negative, False Positive and False Negative Samples in the ELISA and AF Staining Methods

PCR	ELISA	AF Staining	
+	+(True positive)	+(True positive)	
+	+(True positive)	+(True positive)	
+	+(True positive)	+(True positive)	
+	+(True positive)	+(True positive)	
+	+(True positive)	-(False negative)	
+	+(True positive)	-(False negative)	
+	-(False negative)	-(False negative)	
-	-(True negative)	+(False positive)	

Table 4. Calculations and Results of the Sensitivity, Specificity, and Positive and Negative Predictive Values of the Acid-Fast Staining and ELISA Methods in Comparison with PCR

	Acid-Fast Staining Method	ELISA Method	P Value
True positive	4	5	
True negative	213	87	
False positive	1	0	
False negative	3	2	
Sensitivity (%)	57.14	71.4	0.724
Specificity (%)	99.53	100	< 0.001
Positive predictive value (%)	80	100	0.579
Negative predictive value (%)	98.6	97	< 0.001

A positive correlation was observed with age (< 5 years), place of residence (city or village), and animal contact (P < 0.001). However, there was no statistically significant (P = 0.08) correlation of gender, social-economic condition, breast-feeding, and stay in kindergarten with positivity to parasite.

Based on the Sanger method, five *Cryptosporidium*-positive isolates obtained by the PCR method were sequenced by Kawsar Biotech Company (Iran). The results identified all the five isolates as *C. parvum*, which was registered in the GenBank under the accession numbers MK426792, MK426793, MK426794, MK426795, and MK426796.

5. Discussion

In this study, the prevalence rate of *Cryptosporidium* was obtained as 3.2% among children with diarrhea in Urmia city. This result is relatively in agreement with those obtained from the cities of Hamedan (5.4%), Isfahan (4.6%), Gonbad Kavoos (4.94%), and Tehran (2.40%) (17-20). However, this positive association was not observed between our study and a previous study performed in the cities of Urmia and Nagadeh (7.66%) (21). The probable reason for this difference can be the time, during which the latter study was conducted (27 years ago), as well as levels of awareness, knowledge, and health that have increased among people, thereby resulting in the decreased prevalence rate.

In the current study, three diagnostic methods of *Cryptosporidium* were compared using stool samples. To select the best standard and cost-effective method in routine diagnosis of this parasite, various factors such as time duration for performing tests, cost, fluency, sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were con-

sidered. The results showed that the PCR and ELISA methods were more accurate than the microscopic method. In a similar study, Yilmaz et al. (21) made a comparison between the AF staining and ELISA methods. They detected Cryptosporidium in stool samples of 2000 children in Turkey. According to their results, Cryptosporidium antigens were detected in 97 samples using the ELISA method; however, Cryptosporidium were detected only in 39 samples using the AF staining method. This indicated the higher sensitivity of ELISA relative to AF staining. In another similar study, Morgan et al. (22) compared the AF staining procedure with the PCR test to detect Cryptosporidium among 511 stool specimens referred for screening on the basis of diarrhea. The results showed that PCR and AF staining detected a total of 36 and 29 positive cases among the 511 stool samples, respectively. It was also revealed that the sensitivity and specificity of the AF staining method were 83.7% and 98.9%, respectively, when compared with PCR (100% sensitivity) (23).

In our work, the gold standard was the PCR method. All the negative and positive samples were analyzed by the AF staining method and tested by the PCR method. However, because of some limitations, only 94 samples were selected for the ELISA method. After performing the ELISA test, the sensitivity as well as the positive and negative predictive values of the AF staining method were calculated using PCR, as the standard method. The obtained results showed a lower sensitivity for the AF staining method in comparison with the PCR method. Moreover, the number of false negative samples was observed to be relatively high while using the staining method.

This was the first study that applied molecular methods to determine the prevalence rate of C. parvum in children in West Azerbaijan province, North-West of Iran. In the present study, using PCR, all isolates of the species from children were identified as C. parvum. This result correlates with the Memar et al.'s (23) and Nazemalhosseini et al.'s (24) findings showing that C. parvum is the predominant species found in individuals in Iran. Moreover, similar to our results, Taghipour et al. (19) recognized C. parvum as the dominant isolate among children with diarrhea in Tehran city; based on the sequence analysis of the GP60 gene, 17/19 (89.47%) of the positive isolates were C. parvum and 2/19 (10.52%) were C. hominis (19). Our results contradict with the findings of Jiang et al. (25) who showed C. andersoni and C. hominis as the dominant isolates with regard to the SSU rRNA gene.

Regarding the advantages and disadvantages of the three methods used in this study, the time spent on PCR, ELISA, and AF staining was 8, 2.5, and 0.5 hours, respectively. Further, the cost was extremely high for the PCR method,

but the minimum for the ELISA and AF staining methods. Hence, due to the low sensitivity of the staining method and considering its cost, test time, and sensitivity, the ELISA method is more favorable for routine use in the diagnosis of *Cryptosporidium* among children and immunocompromised people. Since *Cryptosporidium*-specific antibodies are attached to wells of ELISA plates in this method, it is logical to conclude that the ELISA method is a highly sensitive method and can play an important role in promoting the community health.

5.1. Conclusions

C. parvum was identified as the only infectious agent for humans in the study region. It appears that more investigations are needed to find the infection source in order to design prevention strategies. The most predictive sources for humans are animals and water that should be considered in future studies. Designing a handmade ELISA kit could be the next step to facilitate the routine use for *Cryptosporidium* detecting. Another aim of this study was to determine *Cryptosporidium* spp. that affected children; thus, we used PCR as the gold standard to cover all the samples.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Arash Aminpour and Mahmoud Mahmoudi prepared the proposal. Esmaeil Abasi collected samples. Khosrow Hazrati Tappeh helped with laboratory techniques such as acid-fast staining, and Arash Aminpour designed the work, helped with other laboratory techniques such as ELISA and PCR, analyzed the data and prepared the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical Approval: The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran (No. 1396.264.REC.UMSU.IR).

Funding/Support: This article was derived from an MS thesis in parasitology. The work was financially supported by Urmia University of Medical Sciences with the project number 96-09-43-3090.

Informed Consent: Written consents were obtained from the parents of patients and children with diarrhea.

References

Graczyk TK, Fayer R, Cranfield MR. Zoonotic transmission of Cryptosporidium parvum: Implications for water-borne cryptosporidiosis. *Parasitol Today*. 1997;13(9):348–51. doi: 10.1016/s0169-4758(97)01076-4. [PubMed: 15275048].

- Fayer R, Morgan U, Upton SJ. Epidemiology of Cryptosporidium: Transmission, detection and identification. *Int J Parasitol*. 2000;30(12-13):1305–22. doi: 10.1016/s0020-7519(00)00135-1. [PubMed: 11113257].
- Upton SJ, Current WL. The species of Cryptosporidium (Apicomplexa: Cryptosporidiidae) infecting mammals. J Parasitol. 1985;71(5):625-9. [PubMed: 4057006].
- Stokdyk JP, Spencer SK, Walsh JF, de Lambert JR, Firnstahl AD, Anderson AC, et al. Cryptosporidium incidence and surface water influence of groundwater supplying public water systems in Minnesota, USA.
 Environ Sci Technol. 2019;53(7):3391-8. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.8b05446.
 [PubMed: 30895775].
- Hunter PR, Nichols G. Epidemiology and clinical features of Cryptosporidium infection in immunocompromised patients. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2002;15(1):145-54. doi: 10.1128/cmr.15.1.145-154.2002. [PubMed: 11781272]. [PubMed Central: PMC118064].
- Rafiei A, Rashno Z, Samarbafzadeh A, Khademvatan S. Molecular characterization of cryptosporidium spp. isolated from immunocompromised patients and children. *Jundishapur J Microbiol*. 2014;7(4). e9183. doi: 10.5812/jjm.9183. [PubMed: 25147696]. [PubMed Central: PMC4138615].
- Desai NT, Sarkar R, Kang G. Cryptosporidiosis: An under-recognized public health problem. *Trop Parasitol*. 2012;2(2):91-8. doi: 10.4103/2229-5070.105173. [PubMed: 23767015]. [PubMed Central: PMC3680871].
- 8. Shrivastava AK, Kumar S, Smith WA, Sahu PS. Revisiting the global problem of cryptosporidiosis and recommendations. *Trop Parasitol.* 2017;7(1):8-17. doi: 10.4103/2229-5070.202290. [PubMed: 28459010]. [PubMed Central: PMC5369280].
- Velasquez JN, Pantano ML, Vittar N, Nigro MG, Figueiras O, Astudillo OG, et al. First detection of Cryptosporidium DNA in blood and cerebrospinal fluid of HIV-infected patients. *Parasitol Res*. 2018;117(3):875– 81. doi: 10.1007/s00436-018-5766-1. [PubMed: 29411108].
- Kirkpatrick CE. Cryptosporidium infection as a cause of calf diarrhea. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract.* 1985;1(3):515–28. doi: 10.1016/s0749-0720(15)31300-1. [PubMed: 3907785].
- Smith HV, Nichols RA, Mallon M, Macleod A, Tait A, Reilly WJ, et al. Natural Cryptosporidium hominis infections in Scottish cattle. Vet Rec. 2005;156(22):710-1. doi: 10.1136/vr.156.22.710. [PubMed: 15923554].
- Mi R, Wang X, Li C, Huang Y, Zhou P, Li Z, et al. Prevalence and genetic characterization of Cryptosporidium in yaks in Qinghai province of China. PLoS One. 2013;8(9). e74985. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074985. [PubMed: 24086416]. [PubMed Central: PMC3781125].
- Bornay-Llinares FJ, da Silva AJ, Moura IN, Myjak P, Pietkiewicz H, Kruminis-Lozowska W, et al. Identification of Cryptosporidium felis in a cow by morphologic and molecular methods. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1999;65(4):1455-8. [PubMed: 10103236]. [PubMed Central: PMC91206].
- Garcia LS. Diagnostic medical parasitology. American Society for Microbiology Press; 2006.
- Morabia A. Save epidemiology's footprints!: The case of Harvard epidemiology. *Epidemiology*. 2015;26(2):280-7. doi: 10.1097/EDE.0000000000000242. [PubMed: 25643107].
- 16. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2019.
- Sharbatkhori M, Nazemalhosseini Mojarad E, Taghipour N, Pagheh AS, Mesgarian F. Prevalence and genetic characterization of cryptosporidium spp. In diarrheic children from Gonbad Kavoos city, Iran. *Iran J Parasitol*. 2015;10(3):441-7. [PubMed: 26622299]. [PubMed Central: PMC4662744].
- Saneian H, Yaghini O, Yaghini A, Modarresi MR, Soroshnia M. Infection rate of Cryptosporidium parvum among diarrheic children in Isfahan. Iran J Pediatr. 2010;20(3):343-7. [PubMed: 23056727]. [PubMed Central: PMC3446045].

Mahmoudi M et al.

- Taghipour N, Nazemalhosseini-Mojarad E, Haghighi A, Rostami-Nejad M, Romani S, Keshavarz A, et al. Molecular epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis in Iranian children, Tehran, Iran J Parasitol. 2011;6(4):41-5. [PubMed: 22347312]. [PubMed Central: PMC3279909].
- 20. Nouri M, Moghadam A, Haghighatnia H. Cryptosporidium infection in human diarrhoea patients in West Azerbaijan, Iran. *Med J Islamic Republic Iran*. 1991;**5**(1):35–8.
- 21. Yilmaz H, Tas-Cengiz Z, Cicek M. Investigation of cryptosporidiosis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and microscopy in children with diarrhea. *Saudi Med J.* 2008;**29**(4):526–9. [PubMed: 18382792].
- Morgan UM, Pallant L, Dwyer BW, Forbes DA, Rich G, Thompson RC. Comparison of PCR and microscopy for detection of Cryptosporidium parvum in human fecal specimens: Clinical trial. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1998;36(4):995-8. [PubMed: 9542924]. [PubMed Central: PMC104676].
- 23. Meamar AR, Guyot K, Certad G, Dei-Cas E, Mohraz M, Mohebali M,

- et al. Molecular characterization of Cryptosporidium isolates from humans and animals in Iran. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2007;**73**(3):1033-5. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00964-06. [PubMed: 17142364]. [PubMed Central: PMC1800742].
- 24. Nazemalhosseini-Mojarad E, Haghighi A, Taghipour N, Keshavarz A, Mohebi SR, Zali MR, et al. Subtype analysis of Cryptosporidium parvum and Cryptosporidium hominis isolates from humans and cattle in Iran. *Vet Parasitol*. 2011;179(1-3):250-2. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.01.051. [PubMed: 21376469].
- Jiang Y, Ren J, Yuan Z, Liu A, Zhao H, Liu H, et al. Cryptosporidium andersoni as a novel predominant Cryptosporidium species in outpatients with diarrhea in Jiangsu province, China. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:555. doi: 10.1186/s12879-014-0555-7. [PubMed: 25344387]. [PubMed Central: PMC4225042].